UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,211	10/30/2006	Bakulesh Mafatlal Khamar	574152000400	9175
	7590 04/08/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
	BOULEVARD	SWARTZ, RODNEY P		
MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/565,211	KHAMAR, BAKULESH MAFATLAL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 22-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	Δ) □ Interview Summers	(PTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/565,211 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 January 2008 has been entered.

2. Claims 22-48 are pending and under consideration.

Rejection Maintained

3. The rejection of claims 22-48 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, is maintained.

Applicant argues that the specification provides clear and sufficient guidance to one of ordinary skill in the art on how to make and/or use the claimed invention. Specifically, the present application includes numerous references to human hosts. (See e.g., Examples 4-8). Indeed, all of the examples are illustrated with human patients. Further, regarding the dosage and composition, Example 1 provides 10 different recipes. Example 6 teaches giving patients 0.1 ml doses at a rate of 1 per week. Additionally, Example 2 teaches the preparation of a pharmaceutical composition in great detail. Regarding frequency and duration, example 4 teaches treating the patient once a week while example 7 teaches treating patients according to a conventional regimen for three months. Regarding the route of administration, the specification teaches that asthma medications may be inhaled or taken orally with the preferred method being inhalation. (See pages 2-3 of the instant application). One of ordinary skill in the art reading the specification would clearly understand that typical treatment conditions would

Art Unit: 1645

comprise giving a patient approximately O. 1 ml doses once a week for four weeks to three months.

In addition, Dr. Khamar, one of the inventors on this application, submits herewith a declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 providing additional experimental data supporting the compositions, dosages, and treatment regimens taught in the specification. The additional experimental data in the Rule 132 declaration of Dr. Khamar simply provide further clarifications of the examples thai were reduced to practice by the inventors. However, as explained in paragraph 9 of the Rule 132 of Dr. Michael Ross, MD, the additional data in the Rule 132 declaration of Dr. Khamar are nothing more than "minor details." However, "the omission of minor details does not cause a specification to fail to meet the enablement requirement" as the "minor details" supplement, not substitute the specification. See Genentech, Inc. v. Novo Nordisk, 108 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 1997). in short, Applicants submit that one of ordinary skill in the art reading the specification would have sufficient guidance to prepare a pharmaceutically effective composition, dosage and treatment regimen as claimed and respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

The Declaration of Dr. Khamar recites that in the examples 5 to 7, "a pharmaceutical composition of Mycobacterium w" was administered intradermally or by nebulizer in quantities of 0.2 ml or 0.1 ml once a week or once a fortnight.

The examiner has considered applicant's arguments, but does not find them persuasive.

The instant specification does recite 8 different preparations of Mycobacterium w

pharmaceutical composition, i.e., heat killed whole cells, methanol extract, chloroform extract, sonicate, acetone extract, ethanol extract, and liticase extract. However, neither the specification nor the Declaration of Dr. Khamar specifies which of the types was actually

Application/Control Number: 10/565,211 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

utilized. Because of the wide variety of the composition preparations, the actual constituents in each of the pharmaceutical compositions also vary greatly. Thus, without knowing exactly which preparation was utilized, there is insufficient information to enable the instant claims which merely recite "a pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of" either "Mycobacterium w" or "a" constituent of Mycobacterium w.

Conclusion

4. No claims are allowed.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM EST. Thursday is the examiner's work at home day.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Shannon Foley, can be reached on (571)272-0898.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/565,211 Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

March 30, 200April 7, 2008

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/565,211	KHAMAR, BAKULESH MAFATLAL
Examiner	Art Unit
Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 080330